New experiment for two neutrino emission double beta decay using Zirconium-96

The 30th edition of the International Workshop on Weak interaction and Neutrinos (WIN2025) Brighton, UK June 12, 2025

Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Ag 19H05093 and 20H05241 Scientific Research (B) 22H01243/23K225 25H02166 Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Arecus Miyagi University of Education X. Fukuda Kamioka Observatory, ICRR, Univ. of Toky S. Moriyama, K. Hiraide University of Fukui X. Ogawa Tokyo University of Science T. Gunji Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University S. Kurosawa University of Toyama Y. Nakano

ZICOS experiment for ⁹⁶Zr 0vßß observation



2

96

Setup for 2v-ZICOS detector



- 20 2" Ultra Low BG PMT Hamamatsu R10789.
- 16 cm diameter round bottom flask using pure Quartz.
- 2L of ZICOS LS loaded 200g of Zr(iPrac)₄ including ⁹⁶Zr 0.74g has been already prepared.



Setup for 2v-ZICOS detector



- 20 2" Ultra Low BG PMT Hamamatsu R10789.
- 16 cm diameter round bottom flask using pure Quartz.
- 2L of ZICOS LS loaded 200g of Zr(iPrac)₄ including ⁹⁶Zr 0.74g has been already prepared.

ETFE bag for reducing BG contains 0.73L ZICOS LS which includes ⁹⁶Zr 0.27g.



<u>2v-ZICOS for measurement of $2v\beta\beta$ half-life</u>



In Kamioka mine LAB-A : Behind of LINAC control room

Now we are testing on the ground.

Why 2v-ZICOS should do in Kamioka mine?

There is huge backgrounds for the observation of $2\nu\beta\beta$ events on the surface of earth due to cosmic muons.

- Cosmic muon rate is ~2Hz on the surface of earth.
- Most significant spallation product is ¹¹C which decays in beta plus and emits total energy ~2MeV.
- The half-life of ¹¹C is 1200sec and the detector should have no live-time if veto for all muons.
- In the mine, the cosmic muon rate will be 2 × 10⁻⁵Hz, so dead-time is about 2.4% even if veto for all muons.
- Expected event rate due to ¹¹C, which is caused by neutron produced by muon spallation, is ~20 events/yr using measured neutron flux. (same expected number was obtained by KamLAND data. Ref:Phys.RevC.107.054612)

Are we ready to start 2v-ZICOS in the mine?

To show the detector performance of 2v-ZICOS experiment, we measured following things.

- 1. Using calibration source, is observed energy spectrum consistent with simulation?
- 2. Does energy scale have a linearity?
- 3. Is the reconstructed vertex position consistent with simulation?
- 4. Is averaged angle, which is topological info of Cherenkov light used for BG event reduction, consistent with simulation?
- 5. Is background events low enough?
- 6. Is background spectrum understood?

Energy spectra for 2v-ZICOS detector



Energy spectra for BG and calibration source

 Compton edges of both BG ⁴⁰K / ²⁰⁸TI gamma and calibration source were observed.

Energy scale looks good.

Energy calibration for 2v-ZICOS detector



Found clear Compton edge of gamma sources.

- Trigger simulation was also applied for MC data.
 - Energy resolution was worth than simulation which does not consider the fluctuation of light intensity for PMT.

Energy spectrum seems to be reproduced by simulation.

Pb shield for 2v-ZICOS experiment



- 15cm thickness of Pb shield will be constructed.
- A1050 aluminum box for both structure of Pb shield and M₂ gas filling for safety issue.
- 20cm thickness of Pb shield and oxygen free copper will be used for world highest sensitivity measurement in next year.

Gain adjustment for vertex reconstruction



- Measure the scintillation caused by electron (β) emitted by ⁹⁰S source via narrow hole.
- All PMT gains will be adjusted within a few % by High voltage.
- Verify the consistency of vertex distribution between data and simulation.
- Confirm the averaged angle distribution as expected.

Gain adjustment for vertex reconstruction



- Measure the scintillation caused by electron (β) emitted by ⁹⁰8 source via narrow hole.
- All PMT gains will be adjusted within a few % by High voltage.
- Verify the consistency of vertex distribution between data and simulation.
- Confirm the averaged angle distribution as expected.

Are we ready to start 2v-ZICOS in the mine?

To show the detector performance of 2v-ZICOS experiment, we measured following things.

- 1. Using calibration source, is observed energy spectrum consistent with simulation?
- 2. Does energy scale have a linearity?
- 3. Is the reconstructed vertex position consistent with simulation?
- Is averaged angle using Cherenkov light, which is topological ingo used for BG reduction consistent with simulation?
- 5. Is background events low enough?
- 6. Is background spectrum understood?

13

BG measurement using 20mL quartz vial



- Difficult to measure BG events for 2v-ZICOS detector inside of Pb shield due to limit of number of Pb block.
- 17.5mL ZICOS LS filled in 20mL quartz vial.
- The vial contacted with XMASS PMT R10789 covered by Myra film.
- 10cm thickness of Pb radiation shield was prepared.
- In order to veto cosmic muons, plastic scintillators were located around Pb shield.

Energy spectra using Quartz vial detector



Outside of shield

 Compton scattering gammas from natural ⁴⁰K and ²⁰⁸TI decay were observed for outside of Pb shield.

Inside of shield

- Some background events were found inside of Pb shipld.
- Assuming these events from U/Th in LS, we should search for ²¹⁴Bi -²¹⁴Po candidates (τ =1.643 × 10⁻⁴sec)

Search for ²¹⁴Bi-²¹⁴Po candidates



18 events have time difference $< 5 \times 10^{-4}$ s except parent muon Parent events have • wider energy distribution than daughter events Daughter events have • narrow distribution (like α quenching)

17events looks ²¹⁴Bi-²¹⁴Po candidates. But they don't contribute residual events.

16

BG events from PMT R10789



Ref: NIMA 922(2019)171-176 1.2 \pm 0.3 mBq/PMT of ²²⁶Ra < 0.78 mBq/PMT of ²²⁸Ra 9.1 \pm 2.2 mBq/PMT of ⁴⁰K 2.8 \pm 0.2 mBq/PMT of ⁶⁰Co.





BG events from PMT R10789



Ref: NIMA 922(2019)171-176 $1.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ mBq/PMT of }^{226}\text{Ra}$ $< 0.78 \text{ mBq/PMT of }^{228}\text{Ra}$ $9.1 \pm 2.2 \text{ mBq/PMT of }^{40}\text{K}$ $2.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ mBq/PMT of }^{60}\text{Co.}$

Need ~40 times larger BG events to explain the residual events.



BG events from Quartz



表 分析結果

	単 位:ng/g		
試料名	К	Th	U
RQ200	330	42	64
GE214	180	15	29
定量下限	5	1	1

Measured by Toshiba analysis using IPC mass spectrometer

14.3mBq/vial of ²¹⁴Bi 3.07mBq/vial of ²⁰⁸TI 0.18mBq/vial of ⁴⁰K





BG events from Quartz



表 分析結果

	単 位:ng/g_		
試料名	K	Th	U
RQ200	330	42	64
GE214	180	15	29
定量下限	5	1	1

Measured by Toshiba analysis using IPC mass spectrometer

14.3mBq/vial of ²¹⁴Bi 3.07mBq/vial of ²⁰⁸TI 0.18mBq/vial of ⁴⁰K

Even more 50 times larger BG events could not explain the residual events.



BG events from surface of Quartz

 α from ²¹⁰Po? if so, β from ²¹⁰Bi should be observed by same order of events.

BG events from surface of Quartz

 α from ²¹⁰Po? if so, β from ²¹⁰Bi should be observed by same order of events.

Observed rate correspond to $2mBq/cm^2$, but XMASS estimated as $1\mu Bq/cm^2$.

Comparing distributions using natural gamma

Almost the energy spectrum of residual events is consistent with natural gammas observed at outside of shield.

No obvious BG event exists except similar natural gamma, we are still investigating the source of residual events. (At least, it is not internal BG.)

<u>Summary</u>

- Use ultra low BG PMT Hamamatsu R10789 in order to avoid U/Th/K BG events.
- Observed 17 events as ²¹⁴Bi-²¹⁴Po candidate, which is consistent with result of ²²⁶Ra 2.72 ± 1.33 mBq/kg measured by Ge detector in Kamioka mine.
 (https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptad136)
- BG events from U/Th/K in both PMT R10789 and Quartz vial are quite few.
- Surface event rate is inconsistent with XMASS estimation.
- Residual events seem to not be internal BG events.
- Most of things to do before starting 2v-ZICOS experiment seem to be done, so we will be ready to move all equipment to Kamioka at the end of this month.
- Safety equipment will be also prepared by September.
- The observation will start at this Autumn. Stay tuned!

backup

25

Zirconium β-keto ester complex Zr(CH₃COCHCOOCH(CH₃)₂)₄ = Zr(iPrac)₄ mw : 663.87 Tetrakis(isopropyl acetoacetate) Zirconium

No commercial product

26

for absorbance

Improve of light yield and resolution

How to distinguish ²⁰⁸TI and DBD

Reconstructed vertex by scintillation light

 $0\nu\beta\beta$ event

 β decay

2.6MeV γ

Reconstructed vertex by Cherenkov light Balloon or surface of detector

28

ZICOS LSを用いたガンマ線事象の立ち上がり

 t=57ns~58nsの時間帯で 立ち上がり方に違いが見 える
 エネルギーが大きいほど 立ち上がり方も大きい

 t>58.5nsの波形はエネル ギーによらず一定

t=57ns~58nsの波形はチェ レンコフ光が優勢と考えられ る。

⁵⁷Coの波形をシンチレー ション光のテンプレートとし て作成

BG simulation in worst case

Assuming BGs from flask

- ⁴⁰K affects only part of $2\nu\beta\beta$ observation. ²¹⁴Bi is significant BG, but small fraction of $2\nu\beta\beta$ events should be observed.
- ²⁰⁸TI is most serious BG for $2\nu\beta\beta$. A few events might be observed.

Need actual measurement for ${}^{96}Zr 2\nu\beta\beta$

30

10cm mini Pb shield and CsI detector

Due to limit of number of Pb block, we will use 15cmm thickness

Calibration of vertex reconstruction

日本アイソトープ 協会 標準ガン マ線 516タイプ 100kBg!

Larger pulse signal from ¹³⁷Ce type 516 source will be used for calibration of vertex reconstruction.

ETFE bag

ZICOS Liquid Scintillator

Anisole

Vertex reconstruction using calibration data

Simulation vertices roughly reproduce the data.
 Extra cluster was found at Z<-3cm for data.

Need precise tuning or adjustment of PMT gain.

Averaged angle using calibration data

Averaged angle of simulation seems to roughly reproduce the calibration data (Selection of PMT which receives Cherenkov light might be OK), but vertex still does not reproduce well.